UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ULKU ROWE,	
Plaintiff,	19 Civ. 08655 (JHR)
-V	VERDICT FORM
GOOGLE LLC,	
Defendant.	
JENNIFER H. REARDEN, District Judge:	
According to the principles of law as charge	ged by the Court and the facts as you find them,
please answer the following questions:	
I. <u>Liability</u>	
A. New York Labor Law § 194	
1. Has Plaintiff, Ulku Rowe, proved be Defendant Google paid Ms. Rowe less than Nicho New York Labor Law § 194?	y a preponderance of the evidence that las Harteau or Stuart Breslow in violation of
Nicholas Harteau: YES	NO
Stuart Breslow: YES	NO
If your answer is "No" to both persons in Question Questions 2. If your answer is "Yes" to either peas to the person(s) for who	rson in Question 1, proceed to Question 2 only
2. Has Defendant, Google, proved by differential in pay between Ms. Rowe and Mr. Has fide factor other than sex, which was job-related a	
Nicholas Harteau: YES	NO
Stuart Breslow: YES	NO

B. New York City Human Rights Law (Gender Discrimination)

3. Defendant, her gender	Google, has on a		shed by a preponderance treated her less well, at le	
		YES	NO	
C.	New York City	Human Rights Law	(Retaliation)	
		at least one occasion	shed by a preponderance retaliated against her in v	
		YES	NO	
D.	New York Labo	or Law (Retaliation)	1	
			shed by a preponderance retaliated against her in v	
		YES	NO	
Quest	tion 1 or "Yes" to	both persons in Qu	and either answered "Nestion 2, please do not and the the verdict sheet. Othe in Section II.	nswer any further
II. <u>Da</u>	mages			
		-	by a preponderance of the sult of Google's unlawfu	
		YES	NO	
"No" to Q	uestion 6, you mu	st award Plaintiff U	se proceed to Question 7 lku Rowe \$1: Stop here, I ourt by note that you hav	have the foreperson sign
A.	Back Pay			
7. proved by	What amoun a preponderance	1 0	do you find that Plaintif	f, Ulku Rowe, has
		\$		

B. Statutory Damages Under New York Labor Law

8. Of the amount awarde incurred in connection with answering	d in Question 7, how much, if any, is attributable to damages Yes to Section I.A?				
	\$				
	e, proved by a preponderance of the evidence that it had a eve that its underpayment, as found in Question 8, was in				
YES_	NO				
If your answer is "No" to Question 9, please proceed to Question 10. If your answer is "Yes" to Question 9, please proceed to Question 11 without answering Question 10.					
10. Has Plaintiff, Ulku Rowe, proved by a preponderance of the evidence that Google's underpayment, as found in Question 8, was willful?					
YES_	NO				
C. Compensatory Damages Under New York City Human Rights Law					
11. If you answered "Yes" to Question 3 or Question 4, state the amount of non-economic damages that you award to the Plaintiff, if any, for emotional distress, pain and suffering, or mental anguish:					
	\$				
D. Punitive Damages Under New York City Human Rights Law					
	'to Question 3 or Question 4, do you find that Defendant, e damages under the New York City Human Rights Law?				
YES_	NO				
13. If you answered "Yes' that you award to Plaintiff:	'to Question 12, state the amount of punitive damages, if any,				
	\$				
	nd date this Verdict Sheet and advise the Court by note ou have reached a verdict.				

Dated:	, 2023	
New York,	, New York	
		FOREPERSON